UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,587	09/18/2000	Elizabeth R. Dyor	77777.008529	4756
²⁷⁶⁴² MATTHEW G	7590 02/20/2007 DYOR		EXAMINER RUDY, ANDREW J ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1014 11TH ST	REET #104			
BELLINGHAN	A, WA 98225			
	•		3627	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/664,587	DYOR, ELIZABETH R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oc	ctober 2006		
	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E			,
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-26</u> is/are pending in the application	' 1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are withdraw	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-26</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	age .
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	•
•			
•			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	•
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application	
•			

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 13-16 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman et al., US 6,965,912.

Friedman discloses a system, a web server system, e.g. 212, 260, 288, transaction, authorization and program code (e.g. claims 26-28, 31), database server, e.g. 270, a network, e.g. Internet, and a graphical user interface (gui), e.g. Figs. 6A-K including a number of web pages. Friedman does not disclose all the terms recited by Applicant that the gui comprises, e.g. a purchase amount field, a payee field. However Friedman discloses a plurality of fields, e.g. Fig. 4-5, data fields 402, 406. To have provided the common knowledge fields recited by Applicant for the system of Friedman would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been incorporating common knowledge transaction field data associated with a purchase transaction. It is noted that Friedman may be considered personal financial information.

Further the Official Notice taken from the previous Office Action is deemed admitted by Applicant. To have further incorporated such knowledge with Friedman would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Applicant's attempt at traversing the Official Notice findings as stated in the June 1, 2006 Office Action is inadequate. Adequate traversal is a two step process. First, Applicant must state their traversal on the record. Second, and in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(b) which requires Applicant to specifically point out the supposed errors in the Office Action, Applicant must state why the Office Action statements are not to be considered common knowledge or well known in the art.

In this application, Applicant has clearly not met step (1) as traversal of Official Notice has not been taken. Second, Applicant has failed step (2) since they have failed to argue why the Official Notice statements are not to be considered common knowledge or well known in the art. Applicant did not affirmatively state why such common knowledge is not known. Because Applicant's traversal is inadequate, the Official Notice, e.g. common knowledge, statements are taken to be admitted as prior art. See, MPEP § 2144.03.

Further, it is noted that Applicant's claim language is replete with intended use claim language, e.g. claim 17, lines 3, "configured to process," are given little, if any, patentable weight in juxtaposition to positively recited claim language, e.g. claim 17, line 2, "a commercial web server." As is, Applicant's claim language does not distinguish over Friedman, in view of Official Notice.

- 4. Further references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan M. Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627